

NDA-FP-60
April 1999

Anomaly induced effective actions in even dimensions and reliability of s -wave approximation

SHIN'ICHI NOJIRI¹ and SERGEI D. ODINTSOV^{♠,◇2}

*Department of Mathematics and Physics
National Defence Academy, Hashirimizu Yokosuka 239, JAPAN*

[♠] *Tomsk State Pedagogical University, 634041 Tomsk, RUSSIA*

[◇] *NTZ, University of Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10/11
04109 Leipzig, GERMANY*

abstract

The reduction of 4d matter-gravity theory to S_2 , H_2 or R_2 leads to effective 2d dilatonic gravity with dilaton coupled matter. Spinors give the exceptional example of the theory which is conformally invariant in 4d as well as in 2d, after reduction. We find 4d and 2d conformal anomaly induced effective action (EA) for Majorana spinor. It is expected for some time that s -wave EA (i.e. the one for dilaton coupled 2d matter) is some (s -wave) approximation to 4d EA. We compare such 2d and 4d spinor EAs on the same gravitational background and argue that s -wave EA indeed qualitatively corresponds to no higher derivatives approximation for 4d EA.

PACS: 04.60.Kz, 04.62.+v, 04.70.Dy, 11.25.Hf

¹ e-mail: nojiri@cc.nda.ac.jp

² e-mail: odintsov@mail.tomsknet.ru, odintsov@itp.uni-leipzig.de

It is well-known (see ref.[1]) that spherical reduction of gravity with matter leads to specific model of 2d dilaton gravity interacting with dilaton coupled matter. For example, 4d minimal scalar after reduction is described as 2d dilaton coupled scalar. The calculation of conformal anomaly as well as anomaly induced EA (which represents part of complete EA) for 2d dilaton coupled scalar has been done in refs.[2].

It is clear that such EA is given in the approximation where due to spherical symmetry of the problem one neglects the modes corresponding to spherical coordinates. That is why it is called EA in s -wave approximation. It is the hope that this EA in s -wave approximation may qualitatively describe 4d physics (BHs, wormholes or quantum cosmology). The attempts to apply it in the study of quantum properties of 4d BHs may be found in refs.[3, 4]. In some sense such investigation is similar to description of quantum 2d BHs in CGHS and RST models of dilatonic gravity (for a very incomplete list of refs. see [16, 15, 5]) but now 2d BH with dilaton is interpreted as 4d BH. Interchange of radial coordinate with time in above problem gives the way to apply EA in s -wave approximation in the study of quantum properties of 4d Kantowski-Sachs cosmologies [6] as it was shown in refs.[7]. There is clear analogy here with 2d quantum cosmology as it follows from CGHS or RST-like models [8]. However, despite all these applications the precise correspondence between complete 4d EA (which is very difficult to find) and EA in s -wave approximation (this EA is much easier to obtain) is still lacking.

The purpose of this Letter will be to compare these two EAs and to clarify the reliability of s -wave approximation. Note that for conformally invariant matter one can easily get 4d anomaly induced EA on the arbitrary gravitational background. It is given with the accuracy up to conformally invariant functional which is numerical constant for some backgrounds. On the same time the one-loop EA in s -wave approximation is known [2] for minimal (non-conformal) scalar. Hence, scalar is not good example to make comparison of induced (complete) 2d and 4d EAs. (However, one can compare stress tensors from 4d minimal scalar and from corresponding EA in s -wave approximation).

We consider (Majorana) spinor. In this case 4d anomaly induced EA for conformal spinor is well-known. On the same time the 2d anomaly induced EA for dilaton coupled spinor which corresponds to s -wave reduction of conformal spinor has been found in ref.[14]. Moreover, it actually gives complete EA (no conformally invariant functional appears) as it was shown in ref.[14].

We compare these EAs for the same 4d gravitational backgrounds and we show that in general they do not match even in the number of derivatives (higher derivatives 4d EA versus s -wave EA which is of second order on derivatives). Nevertheless, we argue that s -wave EA may still be in qualitative agreement with complete 4d EA calculated in the approximation where higher derivatives terms may be neglected. Brief remarks on calculation of Hawking radiation from above actions are also given.

We will start from the Lagrangian of 4d dimensional (Majorana) spinors:

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \psi^i . \quad (1)$$

It is well-known that such theory is conformally invariant. On the quantum level, the conformal invariance is broken, what leads to conformal anomaly [9]

$$T = b \left(F + \frac{2}{3} \square R \right) + b' G \quad (2)$$

where square of the Weyl tensor is given by $F = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 2R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3} R^2$ and Gauss-Bonnet invariant is $G = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2$. For Majorana spinors $b = \frac{3N}{120(4\pi)^2}$, $b' = -\frac{11N}{720(4\pi)^2}$.

Having the explicit expression for conformal anomaly one can turn now to the calculation of the anomaly induced EA. Starting from the background with the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\sigma} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

where $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is a fixed fiducial metric (reference) one can suppose that all dynamics is included to the conformal factor σ dependence. Then, using the definition of stress-energy trace via some (gravitational) action with explicit help of Eq.(3), one gets

$$T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta W}{\delta \sigma} . \quad (4)$$

Substituting the metric (3) to Eq.(2) and integrating over σ one finds the anomaly induced EA [10].

This EA may be represented in the non-covariant local form [10]

$$W = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \left\{ b \bar{F} \sigma + 2b' \sigma \left[\square^2 + 2\bar{R}^{\mu\nu} \bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{\nabla}_\nu \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{2}{3}\bar{R}\square + \frac{1}{3}(\bar{\nabla}^\mu \bar{R})\bar{\nabla}_\mu \Big] \sigma + b'\sigma \left(\bar{G} - \frac{2}{3}\square \bar{R} \right) \\
& -\frac{1}{18}(b+b') \left[\bar{R} - 6\square\sigma - 6(\bar{\nabla}_\mu \sigma)(\bar{\nabla}^\mu \sigma) \right]^2 \Big\} \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where σ -independent terms (generalized integration constant) are not written explicitly. Hence, W is not complete, the only σ -dependence is exactly taken into account. Note that one has to extract $\bar{R}^2$ term from the last term in (5) if to use the usual condition that W vanishes at $\sigma = 0$.

It may be also presented in covariant but non-local form [10]:

$$\begin{aligned}
W &= -\frac{1}{4b'} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g(x)} \int d^4y \sqrt{-g(y)} \left[bF + b' \left(G - \frac{2}{3}\square R \right) \right]_x \\
&\times \left[2\square^2 + 4R^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - \frac{4}{3}R\square + \frac{2}{3}(\nabla^\mu R) \nabla_\mu \right]_{xy}^{-1} \\
&\times \left[bF + b' \left(G - \frac{2}{3}\square R \right) \right]_y - \frac{1}{18}(b+b') \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R^2 . \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

The total one-loop effective action is given as follows

$$\Gamma = W + \Gamma(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}) \quad (7)$$

where the second term (conformally invariant functional) cannot be found from the only conformal anomaly. Of course, second term (generalized integration constant) of Γ is covariant one. It is only to show explicitly its conformal invariance we write the argument as tilded metric.

Let us give few explicit examples.

1. First of all, we consider conformally flat space, i.e., $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$. In this exceptional case, the second term in Eq.(7) is the numerical constant which may be dropped away. Hence, in such case

$$\Gamma = W = \int d^4x \left\{ 2b'\sigma\square^2\sigma - 2(b+b') \left(\square\sigma + (\bar{\nabla}_\mu \sigma)(\bar{\nabla}^\mu \sigma) \right)^2 \right\} . \quad (8)$$

To even simplify the problem, we suppose that σ depends only on conformal time η . Then

$$W = V_3 \int d\eta \left\{ 2b'\sigma\sigma'''' - 2(b+b') \left(\sigma'' + \sigma'^2 \right)^2 \right\} . \quad (9)$$

Here V_3 is the (infinite) volume of 3-dimensional flat space, $' \equiv \frac{d}{d\eta}$ and $\sigma = \ln \alpha$ where $\alpha(\eta)$ is the scale factor. It is known that semiclassical Einstein gravity (with quantum corrections described by the action (9)) leads to the possibility of inflation [11] even in the presence of dilaton [12].

2. Let us consider the situation discussed in ref.[13] when $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = (g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}, g_{\alpha\beta}^\Omega)$ where $g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ is an arbitrary two-dimensional metric and $g_{\alpha\beta}^\Omega$ is two-dimensional metric for the space with constant or zero curvature (S_2 , H_2 or R_2). Then supposing that σ depends on coordinates from $g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ only one gets

$$\begin{aligned} W = & V_\Omega \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{b}{3} \left[(R^{(2)} + R_\Omega)^2 + \frac{2}{3} R_\Omega R^{(2)} + \frac{1}{3} R_\Omega^2 \right] \sigma \right. \\ & + b' \left[\sigma \left(2\Box^2 + 4R^{(2)\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - \frac{4}{3} (R^{(2)} + R_\Omega) \Box \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{2}{3} (\nabla^\mu R^{(2)}) \nabla_\mu \right) \sigma \right] + b' \left(2R_\Omega R^{(2)} - \frac{2}{3} \Box R^{(2)} \right) \sigma \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{18} (b + b') (R^{(2)} + R_\Omega - 6\Box\sigma - 6\nabla^\mu \sigma \nabla_\mu \sigma)^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

W takes the simplest form when $g_{\alpha\beta}^\Omega = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$, i.e. $R_\Omega = 0$. However, even in this case there is an additional, conformally invariant functional Γ which is unknown and which should be added to W to form the complete one-loop effective action (7). This unknown functional may be found as an expansion (see [13]) but in this case conformal invariance may be lost. Hence, we presented anomaly induced EA in its explicit form for two metrics which come naturally from cosmological and black hole considerations.

At the next point, we consider the same Majorana spinor Lagrangian (1). Assume the 4d compactified spacetime

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + r_0^2 e^{-2\phi} d\Omega_{2d} \quad (11)$$

where $d\Omega_{2d}$ corresponds to 2d unit sphere S_2 : $d\Omega_{2d} = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2$ or 2d flat space R_2 : $d\Omega_{2d} = dydz$ or unit hyperboloid H_2 : $d\Omega_{2d} = d\theta^2 + \sinh^2 \theta d\varphi^2$ and ϕ depends on coordinates corresponding to $g_{\mu\nu}$. Then we find $\sqrt{-g^{(4)}} = r_0^2 e^{-2\phi} \sin \theta \sqrt{-g^{(2)}}$ for S_2 , $\sqrt{-g^{(4)}} = r_0^2 e^{-2\phi} \sqrt{-g^{(2)}}$ for R_2 and $\sqrt{-g^{(4)}} = r_0^2 e^{-2\phi} \sinh \theta \sqrt{-g^{(2)}}$ for H_2 . The integration of φ ($0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$) and θ ($0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ for sphere and $0 \leq \theta < \infty$ for hyperboloid) or (y, z) for R_2 give 4π for S_2 and an infinite constant for R_2 or H_2 .

In the metric ansatz (11), not all the spin connections vanish. The non-vanishing spin connections do not appear in the action of the Majorana fermion ψ . Therefore we find in the metric (11) $\bar{\psi}\gamma^m D_m \psi = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \psi$. Here $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ($\mu = 0, 1$) and D_m is the covariant derivative in 4d. We should note, however, that N Majorana fermions in 4d corresponds to $2N$ Majorana fermions in 2d.

One finds

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-g^{(4)}} \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^m D_m \psi_i = C r_0^2 \int d^2x \sqrt{-g^{(2)}} e^{-2\phi} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \psi_i. \quad (12)$$

Here $C = 4\pi$ for S_2 and C is an infinite constant for R_2 or H_2 . Then if we redefine ψ_i by $\psi_i = \frac{\psi_i^{(2)}}{r_0}$, we obtain

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-g^{(4)}} \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^m D_m \psi_i = C \int d^2x \sqrt{-g^{(2)}} e^{-2\phi} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \bar{\psi}_i^{(2)} \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \psi_i^{(2)}. \quad (13)$$

Here $\psi^{(2)}$ is the usual spinor (with mass dimension 1/2) in 2d. And we abbreviate the suffix “(2)” in the following if there is no confusion. The action (13) describes 2d dilaton coupled spinor which is still conformally invariant one. The corresponding 2d dilaton dependent conformal anomaly has been calculated in ref.[14] as follows

$$T = c \left[\frac{1}{2} R + 2\Delta\phi \right]. \quad (14)$$

where $c = \frac{N}{12\pi}$. Notice that this is an anomaly of two-dimensional quantum spinor. The anomaly induced EA in this case has been also found in ref.[14] in non-local, covariant form. It is more convenient for our purposes to use local, non-covariant form of anomaly induced EA.

We suppose that two-dimensional metric in Eq.(13) is chosen in the same way as 4d metric in (3), i.e. $g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\sigma} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Then $R = e^{-2\sigma} (\bar{R} - \bar{\Delta}\sigma)$, $\Delta\phi = e^{-2\sigma} \bar{\Delta}\phi$. Then Eq.(4) looks as

$$\frac{\delta W}{\delta\sigma} = c \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\bar{R} - 2\bar{\Delta}\sigma) + 2\bar{\Delta}\phi \right\}. \quad (15)$$

Integrating over σ , one finds the anomaly induced EA in generalized s -wave approximation (we call it generalized s -wave one because 4d metric is compactified to S_2 , or to H_2 , or to R_2)

$$W = c \int d^2x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \bar{R} \sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sigma \bar{\Delta} \sigma + 2\sigma \bar{\Delta} \phi \right\} . \quad (16)$$

The corresponding covariant, non-local expression looks as [14]

$$W = -\frac{c}{4} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} R \frac{1}{\Delta} R + 4\phi R \right\} . \quad (17)$$

The remarkable property of dilaton coupled spinor is that W gives the complete one-loop EA[14] (up to non-essential constant) on the arbitrary dilaton-gravitational background. No conformally invariant functional is necessary for 2d dilaton coupled spinor unlike 4d case.

Notice also[14] that the effective action (17) for 2d dilaton coupled spinor gives natural realization of RST model [15] which represents the extension of CGHS model [16] on quantum level.

Some remarks are in order. We got the effective action (16), (17) in generalized s -wave approximation. It means that we first did reduction (11) of classical matter action (13). Then we neglected the dependence of quantum EA from two coordinates ($d\Omega$). The “memory” on 4d space came through dilatonic factor which appeared in Eq.(13). The Lagrangian (13) describes now 2d quantum theory. s -wave EA comes from this 2d quantum theory. As reduction and quantization do not commute, generally speaking, it is clearly that such s -wave EA is not the same as 4d EA. Nevertheless, it is usual hope that such s -wave effective action still qualitatively well (in some sense) describes 4d physics. Moreover, it should have some relation with true effective action (for an introduction, see [17]) which is not available usually. For example, the standard guess is that s -wave EA gives some approximation for complete 4d EA.

Our purpose now will be to calculate EA (16), (17), in s -wave approximation on the same two backgrounds where 4d anomaly induced EA(5), (6) is calculated and to compare them.

First of all, we consider conformally flat space, i.e., 2d metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, $\sigma = \sigma(\eta)$ and in Eq.(11) $d\Omega$ corresponds to 2d flat space while $\phi = -\sigma$.

Anomaly induced action (16) at such conditions is

$$W = cV_1 \int d\eta \left\{ -\frac{5}{2} \sigma \sigma'' \right\} . \quad (18)$$

As one can see there is no even qualitative correspondence with 4d anomaly induced EA (9) where we have only the derivatives of fourth order while in (18) of second order. However, it is not the full story. That is due to our background choice one gets no second order derivatives in 4d anomaly induced EA.

It is not difficult to find anomaly induced 2d EA for the second example background discussed in 4d case above. Then

$$W = c \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} R^{(2)} \sigma - \frac{5}{2} \sigma \Delta \sigma \right\} . \quad (19)$$

This again gives complete one-loop EA. Again, this anomaly induced EA and 4d EA (10) do not agree even in the number of derivatives. The explicit correspondence is difficult to check as in 4d case one has unknown conformally invariant functional completing EA. However, imagine that we are in a situation where one can argue that higher derivatives terms in 4d EA may be omitted (for example, adiabatic expansion, i.e. slowly varying fields). Then in such approximation one can see that only terms with two derivatives survive in Eq.(10). Moreover, the structure of these terms remarkably repeats the structure of above 2d EA. Both these EAs are proportional to number of spinors. It is clearly seen from Eq.(10) (we take $R_\Omega = 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} W \sim V_\Omega \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{16b}{9} (R^{(2)} + 1) \sigma + b' \left(-\frac{8}{3} \sigma \Delta \sigma + 4R^{(2)} \sigma \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{2}{9} (b + b') (R^{(2)} - 6\Delta \sigma - 6\nabla^\mu \sigma \nabla_\mu \sigma) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where higher derivative and constant terms are dropped. Trivial dimensional transformation should be done in order to present it in 2d form. As we see signs and coefficients do not match, of course. One can expect that after the calculation of the conformally invariant functional in complete 4d EA the approximation may become better.

Let us briefly comment on Hawking radiation.asit follows from above actions. We now work in the conformal gauge, where the metric has the following form:

$$ds^2 = e^{2\rho} (-dt^2 + ds^2) + r_0^2 e^{-2\phi} d\Omega^2 . \quad (21)$$

The Schwarzschild metric

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 . \quad (22)$$

can be transformed into the metric in the conformal gauge (21) by changing the coordinate

$$s = r + r_0 \ln \left(\frac{r}{r_0} - 1 \right) . \quad (23)$$

Then the metric in (22) has the following form:

$$e^{2\rho} = \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r(s)}\right) , \quad e^{-2\phi} = r(s)^2 . \quad (24)$$

Substituting (24) into the quantum part of the stress-energy tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ given by the effective action (17) induced from 2d anomaly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{+-} &= \frac{c}{16} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right) \left(\frac{2}{r^2} - \frac{5r_0}{r^3}\right) \\ T_{\pm\pm} &= \frac{c}{16} \left(\frac{3r_0^2}{4r^4} - \frac{2r_0}{r^3} + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) + f^\pm(x^\pm) . \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Here $x^\pm = t \pm s$ and M . The functions $f^\pm(x^\pm)$ appear due to the non-locality in (17) and should be fixed by the boundary condition. Here we assume $T_{\pm+} = 0$ at the past null infinity ($x^- \rightarrow -\infty$) and $T_{\pm-} = 0$ at the past horizon ($x^+ \rightarrow -\infty$). Then we find

$$f^+(x^+) = 0 , \quad f^-(x^-) = \frac{c}{64r_0^2} . \quad (26)$$

Now one can estimate Hawking radiation (which is proportional to particles number) at the future null infinity $x^+ \rightarrow +\infty$):

$$T_{--} \rightarrow \frac{c}{64r_0^2} . \quad (27)$$

This result looks simple enough in 2d language.

The effective action (6) induced from 4d anomaly is also non-local and there is a possibility to generate the Hawking radiation. In the Schwarzschild metric, the curvatures have the following form:

$$R = R_{\mu\nu} = 0 , \quad R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{12r_0^2}{r^6} , \quad (28)$$

Then we find an expression of the trace anomaly (2):

$$T = \frac{12 (b + b') r_0^2}{r^6} . \quad (29)$$

The arguments on calculation of Hawking radiation using 4d conformal anomaly in the Schwarzschild metric and the conservation law $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = 0$ have already been given in [18]. The results should be equivalent to that based on the complete non-local effective action (6). It was shown in [18] that there remain two integration constants and an unknown function which is relevant to the Hawking radiation but cannot be fixed from the conservation law and the trace anomaly. They could be fixed defining the vacuum state and/or the Hawking radiation itself.

Hence our main conclusion is that *s*-wave EA may be still relevant to 4d physics. However, it should be possible only for gravitational backgrounds where no higher derivatives terms approximation to EA is valid.

Acknowledgments. SDO would like to thank S.W. Hawking and P. van Nieuwenhuizen for discussion of related questions. The research by SDO was partially supported by a RFBR Grant N 99-02-16617, by Saxonian Min. of Science and Arts and by Graduate College “Quantum Field Theory” at Leipzig University.

References

- [1] P. Hajicek, *Phys.Rev.* **D30** (1984) 1178; P. Thomi, B. Isaak and P. Hajicek, *Phys.Rev.* **D30** (1984) 1168.
- [2] E. Elizalde, S. Naftulin and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys.Rev.* **D49** (1994) 2852, hep-th/9308020; T. Chiba and M. Siino, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A12** (1997) 709; R. Bousso and S.W. Hawking, *Phys.Rev.* **D56** (1997) 7788, hep-th/9705236; S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A12** (1997) 2083, hep-th/9706009 and *Phys.Rev* **D57** (1998) 2363, hep-th/9706143; Shoichi Ichinose, *Phys.Rev.* **D57** (1998) 6224, hep-th/9707025; A. Mikovic and V. Radovanovic, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **15** (1988) 827, hep-th/9706066; W. Kummer, H. Liebl and D.V. Vassilevich, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A12** (1997) 2683, hep-th/9707041; J.S. Dowker, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **15**

- (1998) 1881, hep-th/9802029 ; S. Ichinose and S.D. Odintsov, *Nucl.Phys.* **B539** (1999) 643, hep-th/9802043.
- [3] R. Bousso and S.W. Hawking, *Phys.Rev.* **D57** (1998) 2436, hep-th/9709224; S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys.Rev.* **D59** (1999) 044003, hep-th/9806055; R. Bousso, *Phys.Rev.* **D58** (1998) 083511, hep-th/9805081.
- [4] M. Buric, V. Radovanovic and A. Mikovic, *Phys.Rev.* **D59** (1999) 084002, gr-qc/9804083; F.C. Lombardo, F.D. Mazzitelli and J.G. Russo, *Phys.Rev.* **D59** (1999) 064007, gr-qc/9808048; R. Balbinot and A. Fabbri, *Phys.Rev.* **D59** (1999) 044031, hep-th/9807123 and gr-qc/9904034; W. Kummer and D.V. Vassilevich, hep-th/9811092.
- [5] S.P. de Alwis, *Phys.Lett.* **B289** (1992) 278; A. Bilal and C. Callan, *Nucl.Phys.* **B394** (1993) 73; S. Nojiri and I. Oda, *Phys.Lett.* **B294** (1992) 317; *Nucl.Phys.* **B406** (1993) 499; T. Banks, A. Dabholkar, M. Douglas and M. O'Loughlin, *Phys.Rev.* **D45** (1992) 3607; R.B. Mann, *Phys.Rev.* **D47** (1993) 4438; D. Louis-Martinez and G. Kunstatter, *Phys.Rev.* **D49** (1994) 5227; J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, *Phys.Rev.* **D50** (1994) 7403; T.Klobsch and T.Strobl, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **13** (1996) 965; S. Bose, L. Parker and Y. Peleg, *Phys.Rev.* **D52** (1995) 3512; for a review, see A. Strominger, Les Houches lectures on black holes, hep-th/9501071.
- [6] R.Kantowskii and R. Sacks, *J.Math.Phys.* **7**(1967)2315
- [7] T. Kadoyoshi, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys.Lett.* **B425** (1998) 255, hep-th/9712015; S.J. Gates, T. Kadoyoshi, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys.Rev.* **D58** (1998) 084026, hep-th/9802139; S. Nojiri, O. Obregon, S.D. Odintsov and K.E. Osetrin, to appear in *Phys.Rev.* **D**, hep-th/9902035 and *Phys.Lett.* **B449** (1999) 173.
- [8] F. Mazzitelli and J. Russo, *Phys.Rev.* **D47** (1993) 4490; A. Fabbri and J. Russo, *Phys.Rev.* **D53** (1996) 6995; W.T. Kim and M.S. Yoon, *Phys.Rev.* **D58** (1998) 084014; S. Bose and S. Kar, *Phys.Rev.* **D56** (1997) 4444.
- [9] S. Deser, M.J. Duff and C.J. Isham, , *Nucl.Phys.* **B111** (1976) 45, for a review, see M. Duff, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **11** (1994) 1387.

- [10] R.J. Riegert, *Phys.Lett.* **B134** (1984)56; E.S. Fradkin and A. Tseytlin, *Phys.Lett.* **B134** (1984) 187; I.L. Buchbinder, S.D. Odintsov and I.L. Shapiro, *Phys.Lett.* **B162** (1985) 92, I. Antoniadis and E. Mottola, *Phys.Rev.* **D45** (1992) 2013; S.D. Odintsov, *Z.Phys.* **C54** (1992) 531. for a recent review see D. Anselmi, hep-th/9903059.
- [11] A. Starobinsky, *Phys.Lett.* **B91** (1980) 99; S.G. Mamaev and V.M. Mostepanenko, *JETP* **51** (1980) 9.
- [12] I. Brevik and S.D. Odintsov, hep-th/9902184, to appear in *Phys.Lett.* **B**.
- [13] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys.Rev* **D59** (1999) 044026, hep-th/9804033.
- [14] P. van Nieuwenhuizen, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, hep-th/9901119, to appear in *Phys.Rev* **D**.
- [15] J.G. Russo, L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius, *Phys. Lett.* **B292** (1992) 13.
- [16] C.G. Callan, S.B. Giddings, J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) 1005.
- [17] I.L. Buchbinder, S.D. Odintsov and I.L. Shapiro, *Effective Action in Quantum Gravity*, IOP Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia, 1992.
- [18] S.M. Christensen, S.A. Fulling, *Phys.Rev* **D8** (1977) 2088.